

PSY 622 Module Ten Interview Journal Rubric: Forensic Mental Health Screening III

The journals in this course will focus on the assessment tools used in forensic case work. Role-play and interview a classmate as an offender client (e.g., Client 2). Use only initials to identify or discuss the offender client in the journal. Afterwards, administer (or interview) the offender client with the MHSF-III. Based on hypothetical score results (between 11 and 18 points), write a reflective summary of the symptoms along with the background information gathered during the interview. The summary should include ethically appropriate treatment and evaluation recommendations for an offender who is court-ordered for jail diversion treatment. The journal assignments provide an opportunity to connect the readings and professional practices and to experience conducting an interview. Each journal activity is graded individually.

Guidelines for Submission: Submit assignment as a Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, and one-inch margins. Journal assignments should be one to two pages in length. All citations should be completed using APA format.

Critical Elements	Exemplary (100%)	Proficient (90%)	Needs Improvement (70%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Symptoms	Meets "Proficient" criteria	Accurately summarizes	Summarizes symptoms, but	Does not summarize	30
	and uses examples to illustrate	symptoms using specific	summary may be inaccurate	symptoms	
	the symptoms	details	and/or lacks specific details		
Treatment	Meets "Proficient" criteria	Accurately presents ethically	Presents ethically appropriate	Does not present ethically	30
	and uses clear and relevant	appropriate treatment and	treatment, but treatment is	appropriate treatment	
	examples from scholarly	uses specific details	inaccurate and/or lacks		
	resources		specific details		
Recommendations	Meets "Proficient" criteria	Presents evaluation	Presents evaluation	Does not present evaluation	30
	and examples are based on	recommendations that are	recommendations, but	recommendations	
	scholarly research to support	valid and uses specific details	recommendations are invalid		
	recommendations		and/or lack specific details		
Articulation of	Submission is free of errors	Submission has no major	Submission has major errors	Submission has critical errors	10
Response	related to citations, grammar,	errors related to citations,	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	
	spelling, syntax, and	grammar, spelling, syntax, or	spelling, syntax, or	spelling, syntax, or	
	organization and is presented	organization	organization that negatively	organization that prevent	
	in a professional and easy to		impact readability and	understanding of ideas	
	read format		articulation of main ideas		
Earned Total					100%