Southern New Hampshire University

PSY 622 Milestone Five: Case Scenario 5 Guidelines and Rubric
(Child Abuse Potential)

Prompt: Review the collateral information of Case Scenario 5. In the Assessment Data section, review the raw data of the CAPI. Appropriately interpret the scores. Based on your review of Therapeutic Risk Typologies, provide a one to two page recommendations report for child protective services.

Specifically, the following **critical elements** must be addressed:

V. **Child Abuse Potential (Case Scenario 5)**
   a) Assess the extent to which the case study data set meets the **Daubert** standard (psychometrics). In other words, what is the known or potential error rate? Has the data been derived using reliable methods? Is the data valid and reliable with regard to the scientific method?
   b) Evaluate the **interview information** contained in the scenario for its implications in the risk assessment and recommendations process. Substantiate your claims with specific research.
   c) Evaluate the **collateral information** contained in the scenario for its implications in the risk assessment and recommendations process. Substantiate your claims with specific research.
   d) Create a **recommendations** report for risk management based on the above elements. Justify your position with specific scholarly research.
   e) Be sure your recommendations report aligns with the **ethical guidelines** of a professional psychology association. Note: You do not need to belong to an organization, but rather may view the ethical guidelines on the website of the organization of your choice.

Guidelines for Submission: The recommendations report should follow these formatting guidelines: 1–2 pages, double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, one-inch margins, and at least three citations in APA format.

Critical Elements	Proficient (100%)	Needs Improvement (75%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Child Abuse Potential: Daubert	Comprehensively assesses the extent to which the case study data set meets the Daubert standard	Assesses the extent to which the case study data set meets the Daubert standard but with gaps in accuracy or detail	Does not assess the extent to which the case study data set meets the Daubert standard	18
Child Abuse Potential: Interview Information	Evaluates interview information appropriately for its implications in the risk assessment process and substantiates claims with specific research	Evaluates information but evaluation is not appropriate to the situation, or does not substantiate claims with research	Does not evaluate interview information	18
Child Abuse Potential: Collateral Information	Evaluates collateral information appropriately for its implications in the risk assessment and recommendations process and substantiates claims with specific research	Evaluates collateral information but evaluation is not appropriate to the situation, or does not substantiate claims with research	Does not evaluate collateral information	18

Child Abuse Potential: Recommendations	Proposes appropriate recommendations and justifies with research	Proposes recommendations that are not appropriate to the situation, or does not justify with research	Does not propose recommendations	18
Child Abuse Potential: Ethical Guidelines	Employs appropriate ethical guidelines of a professional organization in making recommendations	Employs ethical guidelines but guidelines are not appropriate to the situation or are not supported by a professional organization	Does not employ ethical guidelines	18
Articulation of Response	Submission has no major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, or syntax	Submission has major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, or syntax that negatively impact readability and articulation of main ideas	Submission has critical errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, or syntax that prevent understanding of ideas	10
			Earned Total	**100%**